

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,138	03/10/2006	Dimitrios Evangelou Lizos	2005_1543A	4071
513 7590 10/22/2007 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			EXAMINER	
			DAVIS, BRIAN J	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1021		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1621	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.,, 20 20000 1021			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/551,138 LIZOS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian J. Davis	1621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may vill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FiNAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal ma		ne merits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9-11,19 and 26-28 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1 	are: a) \square accepted or b) drawing(s) be held in abeyon is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have bee i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this Nationa	ıl Stage
			•
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/29/05. 	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 	

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the specification does not contain a heading Brief Description of the Drawings. See MPEP 608.01(f). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 9-11 and 26-28 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The pharmaceutical formulation of claim 9 does not further limit the pharmaceutical agent (i.e. a compound) of claim 1. The methods of claims 10 and 11 do not further limit the pharmaceutical agent (i.e. a compound) of claim 1. The pharmaceutical formulation of claim 26 does not further limit the *compound* of claim 14. The methods of claims 27 and 28 do not further limit the compound of claim 14.

Claim 19 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The claim actually expands the definition of R³-R¹² of the claim from which it depends (claim 18).

Application/Control Number: 10/551,138

Art Unit: 1621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, FIRST PARAGRAPH

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-11 and 29-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the compounds of formula (I), their pharmaceutical compositions and methods of using such compounds in the treatment of psychotic conditions, does not reasonably provide enablement for pharmaceutical agents (i.e. compounds) having serotonin 5-HT₇ receptor antagonist activity and muscarinic M₄ receptor agonist activity for use in treating psychotic conditions where the agent does not include a particular set of bisarylazepine compounds (see claim 1). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

With regard to rejections under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, the following factors are considered (*In re Wands* 8 USPQ 2d 1400, 1404 (CAFC 1988)): a) Breadth of claims; b) Nature of invention; c) State of the prior art; d) Level of ordinary skill in the art; e) Level of predictability in the art; f) Amount of direction and guidance provided by the inventor; g) Working examples and; h) Level of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure.

- a) The claims are extraordinarily broad: Applicant claims the universe of compounds which have serotonin 5-HT₇ receptor antagonist activity and muscarinic M₄ receptor agonist activity and which are not members of a set of excluded compounds.
 - b,c) The nature of the invention is determined in part by the state of the prior art.

The prior art, in general, teaches specific compounds which are useful in the treatment of psychotic conditions.

- d) The level of skill in the art is considered to be relatively high.
- e) The level of predictability in the art is considered to be relatively low.

The basis of all modern medicine and biology is, of course, chemistry. Yet even under the best of circumstances, and several hundred years after Lavoisier laid the foundations of its modern practice, chemistry remains an experimental science. Neither the medicinal/biological arts nor the chemical arts upon which they are based have advanced to the point where certainty has replaced the need for clinical and/or laboratory experimentation.

- f,g) The amount of direction provided by the inventor is considered to be determined by the specification and the working examples. Applicant's working examples focus on a narrow set of structurally related compounds.
- h) The amount of experimentation necessary to determine which compounds have the necessary receptor activity and which aren't members of a particular subset of bisarylazepines is excessive. The only way to determine the metes and bounds of the instant claims would be to synthesize the infinite set of compounds which are not the bisarylazepines of claim 1 and assay each of them for serotonin 5-HT₇ receptor

Art Unit: 1621

antagonist activity and muscarinic M_4 receptor agonist activity. Case law is clear on this point: the specification must teach how to make and use the invention, not teach how to figure out for oneself how to make and use the invention. *In re Gardner*, 166 USPQ 138 (CCPA 1970).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, SECOND PARAGRAPH

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The exact meaning of the term "test procedure(s)" is unclear because it is undefined.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear how two –X-R¹³ groups together with the carbon atom in the ring to which thy are both bonded can form a C=S group, or the cyclic group diagramed at the end of the claim.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The exact meaning of the term "substantially" is unclear because it is undefined.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,138

Art Unit: 1621

Claims 15-23, 25-28 and 37-39 are also rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as claims which depend from indefinite claims are also indefinite. *Ex parte Cordova*, 10 USPQ 2d 1949, 1952 (PTO Bd. App. 1989).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by DE 2849558 (CAPLUS abstract). The reference teaches applicant's compound: RN=75211-11-9.

Claims 14, 18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Chemical Abstracts Service XP002287521, cite by applicant in the IDS. The reference teaches applicant's compound: RN=101398-76-9.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0638. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne (Bonnie) Eyler can be reached at 571-272-0871. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/551,138

Art Unit: 1621

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brian J. Davis

October 17, 2007